

1 Verify Expressions Verify (6.10) for the pressure tensor, and (6.11), for the heat flux.

$$\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_s = \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}_s + m_s n_s \mathbf{V}_s \mathbf{V}_s \quad (6.10)$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_s = \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{q}}_s + \mathbf{V}_s \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}_s + \frac{3}{2} p_s \mathbf{V}_s + \frac{1}{2} m_s n_s V_s^2 \mathbf{V}_s \quad (6.11)$$

Solution:

We use that

$$\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_s = \int d^3v \, m_s f_s \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \quad (1)$$

$$\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}_s = \int d^3v \, m_s f_s (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}_s)(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}_s) \quad (2)$$

$$p_s = \frac{1}{3} \text{Tr}(\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}_s) = \frac{1}{3} \int d^3v \, f_s m_s |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}_s|^2 \quad (3)$$

$$n_s = \int d^3v \, f_s \quad (4)$$

$$n_s \mathbf{V}_s = \int d^3v \, f_s \mathbf{v} \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_s = \int d^3v \, \frac{m_s f_s}{2} v^2 \mathbf{v} \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbf{q}_s = \int d^3v \, \frac{m_s f_s}{2} |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}_s|^2 (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}_s) \quad (7)$$

We also use that \mathbf{V}_s , m_s are independent of \mathbf{v} and thus can be taken out of the $\int d^3v$ integrals.

Thus for (6.10),

$$\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}_s = \int d^3v \, m_s f_s [\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v} \mathbf{V}_s - \mathbf{V}_s \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{V}_s \mathbf{V}_s] \quad (8)$$

$$= \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_s - \underbrace{\left(\int d^3v \, m_s f_s \mathbf{v} \right) \mathbf{V}_s}_{=m_s n_s \mathbf{V}_s} - \mathbf{V}_s \underbrace{\left(\int d^3v \, m_s f_s \mathbf{v} \right)}_{=m_s n_s \mathbf{V}_s} + m_s \mathbf{V}_s \mathbf{V}_s \underbrace{\int d^3v \, f_s}_{n_s} \quad (9)$$

$$\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}_s = \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_s + (1 - 2) m_s n_s \mathbf{V}_s \mathbf{V}_s \quad (10)$$

$$\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_s = \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}_s + m_s n_s \mathbf{V}_s \mathbf{V}_s \quad (11)$$

as desired.

Now for (6.11)

$$\mathbf{q}_s = \int d^3v \, \frac{m_s f_s}{2} |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}_s|^2 (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}_s) \quad (12)$$

$$\mathbf{q}_s = \int d^3v \, \frac{m_s f_s}{2} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}_s) \cdot (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}_s) (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}_s) \quad (13)$$

$$\mathbf{q}_s = \int d^3v \, \frac{m_s f_s}{2} (v^2 - 2\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{V}_s + V_s^2) \mathbf{v} - \underbrace{\int d^3v \, \frac{m_s f_s}{2} |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}_s|^2 \mathbf{V}_s}_{\frac{3}{2} p_s \mathbf{V}_s} \quad (14)$$

$$\mathbf{q}_s = \underbrace{\int d^3v \frac{m_s f_s}{2} v^2 \mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{Q}_s} - \int d^3v \frac{m_s f_s}{2} 2\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{V}_s \mathbf{v} + \underbrace{\int d^3v \frac{m_s f_s}{2} V_s^2 \mathbf{v}}_{\frac{m_s}{2} V_s^2 n_s \mathbf{V}_s} - \frac{3}{2} p_s \mathbf{V}_s \quad (15)$$

$$\mathbf{q}_s = \mathbf{Q}_s - \mathbf{V}_s \cdot \underbrace{\int d^3v m_s f_s \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}}_{\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_s = \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}_s + m_s n_s \mathbf{V}_s \mathbf{V}_s} + \frac{1}{2} m_s n_s V_s^2 \mathbf{V}_s - \frac{3}{2} p_s \mathbf{V}_s \quad (16)$$

$$\mathbf{q}_s = \mathbf{Q}_s - \mathbf{V}_s \cdot \left(\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}_s + m_s n_s \mathbf{V}_s \mathbf{V}_s \right) + \frac{1}{2} m_s n_s V_s^2 \mathbf{V}_s - \frac{3}{2} p_s \mathbf{V}_s \quad (17)$$

$$\mathbf{q}_s = \mathbf{Q}_s - \mathbf{V}_s \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}_s - \frac{1}{2} m_s n_s \mathbf{V}_s \mathbf{V}_s - \frac{3}{2} p_s \mathbf{V}_s \quad (18)$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_s = \mathbf{q}_s + \mathbf{V}_s \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}_s + \frac{1}{2} m_s n_s \mathbf{V}_s \mathbf{V}_s + \frac{3}{2} p_s \mathbf{V}_s \quad (19)$$

as desired.

2 Cold Plasma In some beam plasmas the flow velocity far exceeds the thermal velocity. The cold plasma model idealizes this situation by taking each species temperature to vanish; the distributions are delta functions centered at the species flow speed \mathbf{V}_s .

Derive an exact set of closed fluid equations for a cold plasma with a single ion species. Discuss the relation between energy conservation, (6.23), and momentum conservation, (6.24), in the cold plasma case.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{3}{2}p + \frac{1}{2}mnV^2 \right) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{Q} = W + \mathbf{V} \cdot (\mathbf{F} + en\mathbf{E}) \quad (6.23)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (mn\mathbf{V}) + \nabla \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}} - en \left(\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B} \right) = \mathbf{F} \quad (6.24)$$

Solution:

In this case we have $f_s = n_s \delta^3(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}_s)$ which automatically satisfies the definitions for n_s and \mathbf{V}_s . Then we have

$$\mathbf{Q}_s = \int d^3v f_s \frac{1}{2} m_s v^2 \mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{2} n_s m_s V_s^2 \mathbf{V}_s \quad (20)$$

$$\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}_s = \int d^3v f_s m_s \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} = n_s m_s \mathbf{V}_s \mathbf{V}_s \quad (21)$$

We note that we can solve

$$M_{\alpha\beta\ldots\tau}^N = \int d^3v f v_\alpha v_\beta \ldots v_\tau = n_s \mathbf{V}_s^N \quad (22)$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \ldots, \tau$ are N factors. We then see that

$$\int d^3v \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \mathbf{v}^N = \mathbf{E} \cdot \int d^3v \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}} [f \mathbf{v}^N] - \mathbf{E} \cdot \int d^3v f \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}^N}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \quad (23)$$

$$= -\mathbf{E} \cdot \int d^3v n_s \delta^3(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}_s) N \mathbf{1} \mathbf{v}^{N-1} = -N n_s \mathbf{E} \mathbf{v}^{N-1} \quad (24)$$

$$\int d^3v \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \mathbf{v}^N = \mathbf{B} \cdot \int d^3v \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \times \mathbf{v}^N = -\mathbf{B} \times \left[\int d^3v \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \times (f \mathbf{v}^{N+1}) - \int d^3v \mathbf{v} N f_s \mathbf{v}^{N-1} \right] \quad (25)$$

$$= \mathbf{B} \times N n_s \mathbf{V}_s^N = N n_s \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{V}_s^N \quad (26)$$

The last identity is easier to see in index form,

$$\int d^3v \epsilon_{ijk} v_i B_j \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial v_k} \overbrace{v_l \cdots v_m}^N = \epsilon_{ijk} B_j \int d^3v v_i v_l \cdots v_m \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial v_k} \quad (27)$$

$$= \epsilon_{ijk} B_j \int d^3v \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial v_k} (f_s v_i v_l \cdots v_m) - f_s \frac{\partial}{\partial v_k} (v_i v_l \cdots v_m) \right] \quad (28)$$

$$= - \int d^3v \epsilon_{ijk} B_j f_s (\delta_{ik} v_l \cdots v_m + \overbrace{\delta_{lk} v_i \cdots v_m + \cdots + \delta_{mk} v_i v_l \cdots}^N) \quad (29)$$

$$= - \int d^3v N f_s \epsilon_{ijk} v_i B_j \overbrace{v_l \cdots v_n}^{N-1} = \int d^3v N f_s \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{v}^N \quad (30)$$

Thus we find

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (n_s \mathbf{V}_s^N) + \nabla \cdot (n_s \mathbf{V}_s^{N+1}) - \frac{e}{m} N n_s \mathbf{E} \mathbf{V}_s^{N-1} + N n_s \Omega \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \mathbf{V}_s^N = \mathbf{C}^{(N)}} \quad (31)$$

with the definition

$$\mathbf{C}^{(N)} = \int d^3v \, C \mathbf{V}^N \quad (32)$$

with C the collision operator. Or, in index notation,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (n_s (V_{s,\alpha} \cdots V_{s,\sigma} V_{s,\tau})) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} (n_s V_{s,\alpha} \cdots V_{s,\sigma} V_{s,\tau} V_{s,v}) - \frac{e}{m} N n_s E_i V_{s,\alpha} \cdots V_{s,\sigma} \quad (33)$$

$$+ \epsilon_{ijk} N n_s \Omega b_i V_{s,j} V_{s,\alpha} \cdots V_{s,\sigma} = C_{\alpha \dots \tau}^{(N)} \quad (34)$$

where $\alpha, \dots, \sigma, \tau, v$ are $N+1$ quantities with the definition

$$C_{\alpha \dots \tau}^{(N)} = \int d^3v \, C v_\alpha \cdots v_\sigma v_\tau \quad (35)$$

with C the collision operator.

We begin with (6.24) and see that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (m_s n_s \mathbf{V}_s) + \nabla \cdot (n_s m_s \mathbf{V}_s \mathbf{V}_s) - en \left(\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{V}_s + \mathbf{B} \right) = \mathbf{F}_s \quad (36)$$

We see that $W = p = 0$ in this cold limit and so (6.23) yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{2} m_s n_s V_s^2 \right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} m_s n_s V_s^2 \mathbf{V}_s \right) = \mathbf{V} \cdot (\mathbf{F}_s + en \mathbf{E}) \quad (37)$$

Thus, if we take $\mathbf{V}_s \cdot$ (36) we find

$$\mathbf{V}_s \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (m_s n_s \mathbf{V}_s) + \mathbf{V}_s \cdot \nabla \cdot (n_s m_s \mathbf{V}_s \mathbf{V}_s) - \mathbf{V}_s \cdot en \left(\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{V}_s + \mathbf{B} \right) = \mathbf{V}_s \cdot \mathbf{F}_s \quad (38)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{2} m_s n_s V_s^2 \right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} m_s n_s V_s^2 \mathbf{V}_s \right) = \mathbf{V} \cdot (\mathbf{F}_s + en \mathbf{E}) \quad (39)$$

which means that energy conservation is subsumed by momentum conservation and adds no new information.

3 Contravariant Components of $\vec{\mathbf{P}}_{\text{CGL}}$ Compute all contravariant components of the stress tensor $\vec{\mathbf{P}}_{\text{CGL}}$ with respect to flux coordinates (r, θ, ζ) , in terms of P_{\perp} , P_{\parallel} and components of \mathbf{B} .

Solution:

We have

$$\vec{\mathbf{P}}_{\text{CGL}} = P_{\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \hat{\mathbf{b}} + (\mathbb{1} - \hat{\mathbf{b}} \hat{\mathbf{b}}) P_{\perp} \quad (40)$$

with $\hat{\mathbf{b}} = \frac{\mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}|}$. Now we can rewrite this as

$$\vec{\mathbf{P}}_{\text{CGL}} = \left(\frac{P_{\parallel} - P_{\perp}}{B^2} \right) \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B} + \mathbb{1} P_{\perp} \quad (41)$$

We can then use that in flux coordinates that

$$B^r = 0 \quad (42)$$

$$B^{\theta} = \frac{\chi'}{\sqrt{g}} \quad (43)$$

$$B^{\zeta} = \frac{q\chi'}{\sqrt{g}} \quad (44)$$

$$\sqrt{g} = 1/(\nabla r \cdot \nabla \theta \times \nabla \zeta) \quad (45)$$

The identity tensor should be invariant under a transformation of coordinates in the sense that it will still be diagonal. Thus,

$$\vec{\mathbf{P}}_{\text{CGL}}^{rr} = P_{\perp} \quad (46)$$

$$\vec{\mathbf{P}}_{\text{CGL}}^{r\theta} = \vec{\mathbf{P}}_{\text{CGL}}^{\theta r} = 0 \quad (47)$$

$$\vec{\mathbf{P}}_{\text{CGL}}^{r\zeta} = \vec{\mathbf{P}}_{\text{CGL}}^{\zeta r} = 0 \quad (48)$$

$$\vec{\mathbf{P}}_{\text{CGL}}^{\theta\zeta} = \vec{\mathbf{P}}_{\text{CGL}}^{\zeta\theta} = \frac{P_{\parallel} B^{\theta} B^{\theta}}{B^2} \quad (49)$$

$$\vec{\mathbf{P}}_{\text{CGL}}^{\theta\theta} = P_{\parallel} \frac{B^{\theta} B^{\theta}}{B^2} - P_{\perp} \quad (50)$$

$$\vec{\mathbf{P}}_{\text{CGL}}^{\zeta\zeta} = P_{\parallel} \frac{B^{\zeta} B^{\zeta}}{B^2} - P_{\perp} \quad (51)$$

4 Law for Evolution of Parallel Stress Tensor Express (6.60) explicitly as a law for evolution of the parallel stress tensor. Assume for simplicity that the magnetic field is uniform.

$$\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} = 0 \quad (6.60)$$

Solution:

We remember that

$$\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}} = \Omega \left(\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}} + \left[\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}} \right]^\top \right) = - \left\{ \frac{\partial \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{P}}}{\partial t} + m \nabla \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{M}}^{(3)} - en(\mathbf{E}\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{V}\mathbf{E}) - m \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{C}}^{(2)} \right\} \quad (52)$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\partial P_\parallel}{\partial t} + \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \left(m \nabla \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{M}}^{(3)} \right) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} - 2enE_\parallel V_\parallel - mC_\parallel^{(2)} = 0 \quad (53)$$

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial P_\parallel}{\partial t} = -m \nabla \cdot \mathbf{M}_\parallel^{(3)} + 2enE_\parallel V_\parallel + mC_\parallel^{(2)}} \quad (54)$$

where

$$\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \left(m \nabla \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{M}}^{(3)} \right) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} = mb_i b_k \partial_j M_{jik} = m \partial_j (b_i b_k M_{jik}) \equiv m \nabla \cdot \mathbf{M}_\parallel^{(3)} \quad (55)$$

$$b_i M_{jik} b_k \equiv \mathbf{M}_\parallel^{(3)} \quad (56)$$

5 Collisional Moment with No Unlike-Species Collisions When unlike-species collisions are omitted (a common approximation to ion kinetics), the first nonvanishing collisional moment is $C^{(2)}$. Show that

$$C_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)} = -\frac{m\gamma}{2} (K_{\alpha\beta} + K_{\beta\alpha}) \quad (57)$$

$$K_{\alpha\beta} = \int d^3v d^3v' f_M f'_M (v_\alpha - v'_\alpha) U_{\beta\lambda} \left(\frac{\partial \hat{f}}{\partial v_\lambda} - \frac{\partial \hat{f}'}{\partial v'_\lambda} \right) \quad (58)$$

Here the tensor $U_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{u^3} (u^2 \delta_{\alpha\beta} - u_\alpha u_\beta)$ with $u_\alpha = v_\alpha - v'_\alpha$.

Solution:

I'm assuming we're using the Coulomb collision operator. Thus,

$$C_{st} = \frac{\gamma_{st}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\lambda} \cdot \int d^3v' U_{\lambda\mu} \left[\frac{f'_t}{m_s} \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial v_\mu} - \frac{f_s}{m_t} \frac{\partial f'_t}{\partial v'_\mu} \right] \quad (59)$$

Assuming

$$f'_s = f'_M (1 + \hat{f}'_s) \quad (60)$$

$$f_s = f_M (1 + \hat{f}_s) \quad (61)$$

with

$$f_M = \frac{n_s}{\pi^{3/2} v_{\text{ths}}^3} e^{-v^2/v_{\text{ths}}^2} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{\partial f_M}{\partial v_\lambda} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial v_\lambda} \frac{\partial f_M}{\partial v} = \frac{v_\lambda - 2v}{v} \frac{1}{v_{\text{ths}}^2} f_M = -\frac{2v_\lambda}{v_{\text{ths}}^2} f_M \quad (63)$$

We see that (using $s = t$ for only like particle collisions)

$$C_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)} = \int d^3v v_\alpha v_\beta \frac{\gamma}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\lambda} \int d^3v' U_{\lambda\mu} \left[\frac{f'_s}{m_s} \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial v_\mu} - \frac{f_s}{m_s} \frac{\partial f'_s}{\partial v'_\mu} \right] \quad (64)$$

$$= \frac{\gamma}{2m_s} \int d^3v \int d^3v' v_\alpha v_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\lambda} U_{\lambda\mu} \left[f'_s \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial v_\mu} - f_s \frac{\partial f'_s}{\partial v'_\mu} \right] \quad (65)$$

$$= \frac{\gamma}{2m_s} \int d^3v \int d^3v' v_\alpha v_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\lambda} U_{\lambda\mu} \left[f'_M (1 + \hat{f}'_s) \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\mu} f_M (1 + \hat{f}_s) - f_M (1 + \hat{f}_s) \frac{\partial}{\partial v'_\mu} f'_M (1 + \hat{f}'_s) \right] \quad (66)$$

$$= \frac{\gamma}{2m_s} \int d^3v \int d^3v' v_\alpha v_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\lambda} U_{\lambda\mu} \left[f'_M (1 + \hat{f}'_s) \left\{ -\frac{2v_\mu}{v_{\text{ths}}^2} f_M (1 + \hat{f}_s) + f_M \frac{\partial \hat{f}_s}{\partial v_\mu} \right\} \right. \\ \left. - f_M (1 + \hat{f}_s) \left\{ -\frac{2v'_\mu}{v_{\text{ths}}^2} f'_M (1 + \hat{f}'_s) + f'_M \frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v'_\mu} \right\} \right] \quad (67)$$

If we then call the bracketed terms to the right of $U_{\lambda\mu}$ the variable T_μ we can integrate by parts

$$C_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)} = \frac{\gamma}{2m_s} \int d^3v \int d^3v' \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v_\lambda} [v_\alpha v_\beta U_{\lambda\mu} T_\mu] - U_{\lambda\mu} T_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\lambda} [v_\alpha v_\beta] \right) \quad (68)$$

$$= -\frac{\gamma}{2m_s} \int d^3v \int d^3v' U_{\lambda\mu} T_\mu [\delta_{\alpha\lambda} v_\beta + \delta_{\lambda\beta} v_\alpha] \quad (69)$$

Now let's simplify T_μ by ridding ourselves of terms with two perturbed terms (or more),

$$T_\mu = -\frac{2v_\mu}{v_{\text{th}s}^2} f'_M f_M \left(1 + \hat{f}'_s + \hat{f}_s\right) + f_M f'_M \frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v_\mu} - \left[-\frac{2v'_\mu}{v_{\text{th}s}^2} f'_M f_M \left(1 + \hat{f}'_s + \hat{f}_s\right) + f_M f'_M \frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v'_\mu} \right] \quad (70)$$

$$= \frac{2f_M f'_M}{v_{\text{th}s}^2} (1 + \hat{f}'_s + \hat{f}_s) (v'_\mu - v_\mu) + f_M f'_M \left[\frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v_\mu} - \frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v'_\mu} \right] \quad (71)$$

Thus, the integrand reads

$$[v_\beta U_{\alpha\mu} + v_\alpha U_{\beta\mu}] \left[2f_M f'_M (1 + \hat{f}'_s + \hat{f}_s) (v'_\mu - v_\mu) + f_M f'_M \left[\frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v_\mu} - \frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v'_\mu} \right] \right] \quad (72)$$

Now we use that $v_\mu - v'_\mu = u_\mu$ and $U_{\zeta\mu} u_\mu = 0$. Thus we have

$$C_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)} = -\frac{\gamma}{2m_s} \int d^3v d^3v' [v_\beta U_{\alpha\mu} + v_\alpha U_{\beta\mu}] f_M f'_M \left[\frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v_\mu} - \frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v'_\mu} \right] \quad (73)$$

We then note that if we switch v_τ and v'_τ that $U_{\tau\sigma}$ remains the same, f_M and f'_M simply swap places, and $\frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v_\mu} - \frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v'_\mu} \rightarrow \frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v'_\mu} - \frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v_\mu} = -\left(\frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v_\mu} - \frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v'_\mu}\right)$. Thus,

$$C_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)} = -\frac{\gamma}{2m_s} \int d^3v d^3v' -[v'_\beta U_{\alpha\mu} + v'_\alpha U_{\beta\mu}] f'_M f_M \left[\frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v_\mu} - \frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v'_\mu} \right] \quad (74)$$

$$= \frac{\gamma}{2m_s} \int d^3v d^3v' [v'_\beta U_{\alpha\mu} + v'_\alpha U_{\beta\mu}] f'_M f_M \left[\frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v_\mu} - \frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v'_\mu} \right] \quad (75)$$

$$= \frac{\gamma}{2m_s} \int d^3v d^3v' [v'_\beta U_{\alpha\mu} + v'_\alpha U_{\beta\mu}] f_M f'_M \left[\frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v_\mu} - \frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v'_\mu} \right] \quad (76)$$

Symmetrizing by adding (73) and (76) yields

$$2C_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)} = -\frac{\gamma}{2m_s} \int d^3v d^3v' [v_\beta U_{\alpha\mu} + v_\alpha U_{\beta\mu}] f_M f'_M \left[\frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v_\mu} - \frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v'_\mu} \right] + \frac{\gamma}{2m_s} \int d^3v d^3v' [v'_\beta U_{\alpha\mu} + v'_\alpha U_{\beta\mu}] f_M f'_M \left[\frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v_\mu} - \frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v'_\mu} \right] \quad (77)$$

$$= \frac{\gamma}{2m_s} \int d^3v d^3v' [(v'_\beta - v_\beta) U_{\alpha\mu} + (v'_\alpha - v_\alpha) U_{\beta\mu}] f_M f'_M \left[\frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v_\mu} - \frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v'_\mu} \right] \quad (78)$$

$$= -\frac{\gamma}{2m_s} \int d^3v d^3v' [(v_\beta - v'_\beta) U_{\alpha\mu} + (v_\alpha - v'_\alpha) U_{\beta\mu}] f_M f'_M \left[\frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v_\mu} - \frac{\partial \hat{f}'_s}{\partial v'_\mu} \right] \quad (79)$$

$$= -\frac{\gamma}{2m_s} (K_{\beta\alpha} + K_{\alpha\beta}) \quad (80)$$

Thus,

$$\boxed{C_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)} = -\frac{\gamma}{4m_s} (K_{\alpha\beta} + K_{\beta\alpha})} \quad (81)$$

It is easy to see that Hazeltine and Meiss have inconsistent units (again), and so they are probably incorrect on the factor of 2 as well.

6 Collisional Viscosity We have noted that collisional viscosity is relatively small. It is also surprisingly easy to calculate, at least whenever the gyroaveraged distribution can be approximated by a displaced Maxwellian,

$$\bar{f} = f_M \left(1 + 2 \frac{v_{\parallel} V_{\parallel}}{v_{\text{th}}^2} \right).$$

6.a Collisional Viscosity Expression Use this \bar{f} and the method of (6.62) *et seq.* to derive an expression for $\vec{\Pi}_c$ as a collisional moment of the distribution $-\frac{1}{\Omega} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla [(2V_{\parallel} v_{\parallel}/v_{\text{th}}^2) f_M]$.

$$P_{\alpha\beta}^0 = \frac{1}{4\Omega} [\epsilon_{\beta\kappa\lambda} b_{\kappa} (S_{\alpha\lambda} + 3b_{\alpha} b_{\gamma} S_{\lambda\gamma}) + \epsilon_{\alpha\kappa\lambda} b_{\kappa} (S_{\beta\lambda} + 3b_{\beta} b_{\gamma} S_{\lambda\gamma})] \quad (6.62)$$

Solution:

By symmetry we see in the discussion following (6.62) that

$$\Pi_{c00} = C_{00}^{(2)} = 0 \quad (82)$$

$$\Pi_{c12} = \frac{mC_{13}^{(2)}}{\Omega} \quad (83)$$

$$\Pi_{c13} = \frac{mC_{12}^{(2)}}{\Omega} \quad (84)$$

$$\Pi_{c23} = \frac{m(C_{33}^{(2)} - C_{22}^{(2)})}{4\Omega} \quad (85)$$

$$\Pi_{c22} = -\Pi_{c33} = \frac{mC_{23}^{(2)}}{2\Omega} \quad (86)$$

Now, as $\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \hat{\mathbf{v}}/\Omega = \boldsymbol{\rho}$, we see that the distribution is

$$-\frac{1}{\Omega} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla [(2V_{\parallel} v_{\parallel}/v_{\text{th}}^2) f_M] = -\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \nabla (\bar{f} - f_M) \quad (87)$$

Let's look at Π_{c12} . We see

$$\Pi_{c12} = \frac{m}{\Omega} \int d^3v \, v_1 v_3 C(f) \quad (88)$$

As argued in the text, the gyroaveraged part vanishes, and so we need the gyrodependent part \tilde{f} , which by (4.66) in Hazeltine and Meiss yields

$$\tilde{f} = -\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \nabla \bar{f} \quad (89)$$

We would then have

$$C(\tilde{f}) = C(-\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \nabla \bar{f}) = C(-\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \nabla [f_M + \frac{2v_{\parallel} V_{\parallel}}{v_{\text{th}}^2} f_M]) \quad (90)$$

Now as $\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \nabla f_M$ is a part of the gyroaveraged part that vanishes (in other words, it is not of the correct order), we can say it vanishes and are just left with

$$C(-\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \nabla \left[\frac{2v_{\parallel} V_{\parallel}}{v_{\text{th}}^2} f_M \right]) \quad (91)$$

as required.

6.b Krook Model Collisional Viscosity Expression Use the Krook model collision operator to compute the collisional viscosity component Π_{c12} . Assume for simplicity that \mathbf{B} , n , and T are spatially constant, and that $\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla V_{\parallel} = 0$.

[For comparison, the exact result is $\Pi_{c12} = -(6/5) \frac{p_i}{\Omega_i^2 \tau_i} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 \cdot \nabla V_{\parallel}$. Even this version is straightforward, if rather lengthy, to calculate; if you try it, begin with the formula given in Problem 5.]

Solution:

We first recognize that

$$\boldsymbol{\rho} = \frac{v_{\perp}}{\Omega} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 \sin \gamma + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3 \cos \gamma) = v_3 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 + v_2 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3 \quad (92)$$

We use

$$\Pi_{c12} = \frac{m}{\Omega} C_{13}^{(2)} = \frac{m}{\Omega} \int d^3v \, v_1 v_3 C(-\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \nabla (\bar{f} - f_M)) \quad (93)$$

With the Krook model, we find

$$C(f_1) = -\nu \left[f_1 - \frac{n_1}{n_M} f_M \right] \quad (94)$$

$$n_1 \equiv \int d^3v \, f_1 \quad (95)$$

We can simply ignore the Maxwellian part and use $C(f_1) = -\nu f_1$ as the Maxwellian part will simply cancel out (it doesn't matter what n_1 is).

Then we find

$$\Pi_{c12} = \frac{m\nu}{\Omega^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_1 dv_2 dv_3 \, -v_1 v_3 (v_3 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 + v_2 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3) \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{2v_1 V_{\parallel}}{v_{\text{th}}^2} f_M \right) \quad (96)$$

$$= -\frac{m\nu}{\Omega^2} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 \cdot \nabla \frac{V_{\parallel}}{v_{\text{th}}^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_1 dv_2 dv_3 \, v_1^2 v_3^2 \frac{n}{\pi^{3/2} v_{\text{th}}^3} e^{-(v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_3^2)/v_{\text{th}}^2} \quad (97)$$

$$= -\frac{m\nu}{\Omega^2 v_{\text{th}}^2} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 \cdot \nabla V_{\parallel} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_1 dv_3 \, v_1^2 v_3^2 \frac{n}{\pi v_{\text{th}}^2} e^{-(v_1^2 + v_3^2)/v_{\text{th}}^2} \quad (98)$$

$$= \frac{-mn\nu}{\Omega^2 v_{\text{th}}^2} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 \cdot \nabla V_{\parallel} \left(\frac{1}{\pi v_{\text{th}}^2} \frac{\pi v_{\text{th}}^6}{4} \right) \quad (99)$$

$$= \frac{-m v_{\text{th}}^2 n \nu}{4 \Omega^2} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 \cdot \nabla V_{\parallel} \quad (100)$$

$$= \frac{-n T \nu}{2 \Omega^2} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 \cdot \nabla V_{\parallel} \quad (101)$$

$$= \frac{-p \nu}{2 \Omega^2} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 \cdot \nabla V_{\parallel} = -\frac{p}{2 \Omega^2 \tau} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 \cdot \nabla V_{\parallel} \quad (102)$$

which is very close to the correct answer aside from a numerical pre-factor.

7 Symmetric Second Rank Tensor Identity Suppose that a symmetric second rank tensor $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{T}}$ satisfies

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{T}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}} = 0 = \text{Tr}(\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{T}}), \quad (103)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{T}} + \left(\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{T}} \right)^\top = \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{S}}, \quad (104)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ is a specified unit vector and $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{S}}$ a known tensor. Show that a particular solution for $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{T}}$ is

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{T}} = -\frac{1}{4} \left\{ \left[\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{S}} + 3\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times [(\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{S}})\hat{\mathbf{e}}] \right] + \left[\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{S}} + 3\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times [(\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{S}})\hat{\mathbf{e}}] \right]^\top \right\}. \quad (105)$$

Solution:

Let's show it is a particular solution by showing that the given $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{T}}$ satisfies all the given properties. We have in component form that

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{T}} = T_{il} = -\frac{1}{4} \{ \epsilon_{ijk} e_j S_{kl} + 3\epsilon_{ijk} e_j e_m S_{mk} e_l + \epsilon_{ljk} e_j S_{ki} + 3\epsilon_{ljk} e_j e_m S_{mk} e_i \} \quad (106)$$

First

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{T}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}} = -\frac{1}{4} \left\{ \hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \left[\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{S}} + 3\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times [(\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{S}})\hat{\mathbf{e}}] \right] \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}} + \hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \left[\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{S}} + 3\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times [(\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{S}})\hat{\mathbf{e}}] \right]^\top \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}} \right\} \quad (107)$$

Here we have used for arbitrary tensor $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{L}}$ and arbitrary vector \mathbf{a} that

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \left(\mathbf{a} \times \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{L}} \right) = 0 \quad (108)$$

$$\left(\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{L}} \times \mathbf{a} \right) \cdot \mathbf{a} = 0 \quad (109)$$

which are proved by (a $i \leftrightarrow j$ indicates that the dummy indices i and j have been swapped.)

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \left(\mathbf{a} \times \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{L}} \right) = a_i (\epsilon_{ijk} a_j L_{kl}) = \epsilon_{ijk} a_i a_j L_{kl} \stackrel{i \leftrightarrow j}{=} \epsilon_{jik} a_j a_i L_{kl} = -\epsilon_{ijk} a_j a_i L_{kl} = \epsilon_{ijk} a_i a_j L_{kl} \quad (110)$$

$$\Rightarrow \epsilon_{ijk} a_i a_j L_{kl} = -\epsilon_{ijk} a_i a_j L_{kl} = 0 \quad (111)$$

$$\left(\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{L}} \times \mathbf{a} \right) \cdot \mathbf{a} = (\epsilon_{ijk} L_{lj} a_k) a_i = \epsilon_{ijk} a_i a_k L_{lj} \stackrel{i \leftrightarrow k}{=} \epsilon_{kji} a_k a_i L_{lj} = -\epsilon_{ijk} a_i a_k L_{lj} \quad (112)$$

$$\Rightarrow \epsilon_{ijk} a_i a_k L_{lj} = -\epsilon_{ijk} a_i a_k L_{lj} = 0 \quad (113)$$

Note, though, that in general

$$\left(\mathbf{a} \times \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{L}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{a} \neq 0 \quad (114)$$

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \left(\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{L}} \times \mathbf{a} \right) \neq 0 \quad (115)$$

We can now use the further identities

$$\left[\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{L}} \right]^\top = -\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{L}}^\top \times \hat{\mathbf{e}} \quad (116)$$

$$[\epsilon_{ijk}e_j L_{kl}]^\top = \epsilon_{ljk}e_j L_{ki} = -L_{ik}^\top \epsilon_{lkj}e_j = -\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{L}}^\top \times \hat{\mathbf{e}} \quad (117)$$

Thus,

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \left(\left[\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}} \right]^\top \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}} \right) = \hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \left(-\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}}^\top \times \hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}} \right) = \hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \mathbf{0} = 0 \quad (118)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \left(\left[\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times [(\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}})\hat{\mathbf{e}}] \right]^\top \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}} \right) = \hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \left(-[(\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}})\hat{\mathbf{e}}]^\top \times \hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}} \right) = \hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \mathbf{0} = 0 \quad (119)$$

and so

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{T}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}} = 0 \quad (120)$$

as required. Now let's test the trace,

$$\text{Tr}[\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{T}}] = T_{ii} = -\frac{1}{4} \{ \epsilon_{ijk}e_j S_{ki} + 3\epsilon_{ijk}e_j e_m S_{mk}e_i + \epsilon_{ijk}e_j S_{ki} + 3\epsilon_{ijk}e_j e_m S_{mk}e_i \} \quad (121)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} \{ \epsilon_{ijk}e_j S_{ki} + 3\epsilon_{ijk}e_j e_m S_{mk}e_i + \epsilon_{kji}e_j S_{ik} + 3\epsilon_{kji}e_j e_m S_{mi}e_k \} \quad (122)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} \{ \epsilon_{ijk}e_j S_{ki} + 3\epsilon_{ijk}e_j e_m S_{mk}e_i - \epsilon_{ijk}e_j S_{ik} - 3\epsilon_{ijk}e_j e_m S_{mi}e_k \} \quad (123)$$

$$= 0 \quad (124)$$

where in (122) I switched the dummy indices k and i in the last two terms (which will not change the value).

Now let's show

$$\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{T}} = -\frac{1}{4} \left\{ \left[\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}} + 3\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times [(\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}})\hat{\mathbf{e}}] \right] + \left[\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}} + 3\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times [(\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}})\hat{\mathbf{e}}] \right]^\top \right\} \quad (125)$$

with the substitution $\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{T}} + (\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{T}})^\top = \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}}$ inserted. We can see that $\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}}$ must be symmetric thus we may use

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}} + 3\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times [(\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}})\hat{\mathbf{e}}] = \hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}} + 3\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times [(\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}})\hat{\mathbf{e}}] = \hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}} \cdot (\mathbf{1} + 3\hat{\mathbf{e}}\hat{\mathbf{e}}) \quad (126)$$

So,

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}} \cdot (\mathbf{1} + 3\hat{\mathbf{e}}\hat{\mathbf{e}}) = \epsilon_{ijk}e_j S_{kl}(\delta_{lm} + 3e_l e_m) \quad (127)$$

and

$$S_{kl} = \epsilon_{knp}e_n T_{pl} + \epsilon_{lnp}e_n T_{pk} \quad (128)$$

yielding

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}} \cdot (\mathbf{1} + 3\hat{\mathbf{e}}\hat{\mathbf{e}}) = \epsilon_{ijk}e_j (\epsilon_{knp}e_n T_{pl} + \epsilon_{lnp}e_n T_{pk})(\delta_{lm} + 3e_l e_m) \quad (129)$$

$$= [(\delta_{in}\delta_{jp} - \delta_{ip}\delta_{nj})e_j e_n T_{pl} + \epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{lnp}e_j e_n T_{pk}](\delta_{lm} + 3e_l e_m) \quad (130)$$

$$= [(e_p e_i T_{pl} - e_j e_j T_{il}) + \epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{lnp}e_j e_n T_{pk}](\delta_{lm} + 3e_l e_m) \quad (131)$$

Now let's calculate $\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{lnp}e_je_nT_{pk}$ with

$$\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{lnp} = \begin{vmatrix} \delta_{il} & \delta_{in} & \delta_{ip} \\ \delta_{jl} & \delta_{jn} & \delta_{jp} \\ \delta_{kl} & \delta_{kn} & \delta_{kp} \end{vmatrix} = \delta_{il}(\delta_{jn}\delta_{kp} - \delta_{jp}\delta_{kn}) + \delta_{in}(\delta_{jp}\delta_{kl} - \delta_{jl}\delta_{kp}) + \delta_{ip}(\delta_{jl}\delta_{kn} - \delta_{jn}\delta_{kl}) \quad (132)$$

and so finding

$$\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{lnp}e_je_nT_{pk} = \delta_{il}(e_je_jT_{kk} - e_pT_{pn}e_n) + e_peiT_{pl} - e_ie_lT_{pp} + e_le_nT_{in} - e_ne_nT_{il} \quad (133)$$

$$= \delta_{il}(T_{kk} - e_pT_{pn}e_n) + e_ie_pT_{pl} - e_ie_lT_{pp} + T_{in}e_ne_l - T_{il} \quad (134)$$

$$(135)$$

altogether then

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \vec{\mathbf{S}} \cdot (\mathbf{1} + 3\hat{\mathbf{e}}\hat{\mathbf{e}}) = [(e_peiT_{pl} - T_{il}) + \delta_{il}(T_{kk} - e_pT_{pn}e_n) + e_ie_pT_{pl} + T_{in}e_ne_l - e_ie_lT_{pp} - T_{il}](\delta_{lm} + 3e_le_m) \quad (136)$$

$$= [2e_peiT_{pl} - 2T_{il} + \delta_{il}(T_{kk} - e_pT_{pn}e_n) + T_{in}e_ne_l - e_ie_lT_{pp}](\delta_{lm} + 3e_le_m) \quad (137)$$

Let's do this term by term.

$$e_peiT_{pl}(\delta_{lm} + 3e_le_m) = e_peiT_{pm} + 3e_ie_me_pT_{pl}e_l \quad (138)$$

$$T_{il}(\delta_{lm} + 3e_le_m) = T_{im} + 3T_{il}e_le_m \quad (139)$$

$$\delta_{il}(\delta_{lm} + 3e_le_m) = \delta_{im} + 3e_ie_m \quad (140)$$

$$T_{in}e_ne_l(\delta_{lm} + 3e_le_m) = T_{in}e_ne_m + 3T_{in}e_ne_le_le_m = 4T_{in}e_ne_m \quad (141)$$

$$e_ie_lT_{pp}(\delta_{lm} + 3e_le_m) = e_ie_mT_{pp} + 3e_ie_mT_{pp} = 4e_ie_mT_{pp} \quad (142)$$

and so altogether this yields

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \vec{\mathbf{S}} \cdot (\mathbf{1} + 3\hat{\mathbf{e}}\hat{\mathbf{e}}) = 2e_ie_pT_{pm} + 6e_ie_m(e_pT_{pl}e_l) - 2T_{im} - 6T_{ip}e_pe_m + (\delta_{im} + 3e_ie_m)(T_{kk} - e_pT_{pl}e_l) + 4T_{in}e_ne_m - 4e_ie_mT_{kk} \quad (143)$$

We may note that

$$3e_ie_m(T_{kk} - e_pT_{pl}e_l) + 6e_ie_m(e_pT_{pl}e_l) - 4e_ie_m(T_{kk}) = e_ie_m(3T_{kk} - 4T_{kk} - 3e_pT_{pl}e_l + 6e_pT_{pl}e_l) \quad (144)$$

$$= e_ie_m(-T_{kk} + 3e_pT_{pl}e_l) = -e_ie_m(T_{kk} - e_pT_{pl}e_l) + 2e_ie_m(e_pT_{pl}e_l) \quad (145)$$

so that

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \vec{\mathbf{S}} \cdot (\mathbf{1} + 3\hat{\mathbf{e}}\hat{\mathbf{e}}) = 2e_ie_pT_{pm} - 2T_{im} - 2T_{ip}e_pe_m + (\delta_{im} - e_ie_m)(T_{kk} - e_pT_{pl}e_l) + 2e_ie_m(e_pT_{pl}e_l) \quad (146)$$

So now we may add the transpose which will yield (utilizing $T_{ip} = T_{pi}$)

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}} \cdot (\mathbf{1} + 3\hat{\mathbf{e}}\hat{\mathbf{e}}) + \left(\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}} \cdot (\mathbf{1} + 3\hat{\mathbf{e}}\hat{\mathbf{e}}) \right)^\top \quad (147)$$

$$= 2e_i e_p T_{pm} - 2T_{im} - 2T_{ip} e_p e_m + 2e_p T_{pi} e_m - 2T_{mi} - 2e_i T_{mp} e_p \\ + 2(\delta_{im} - e_i e_m)(T_{kk} - e_p T_{pl} e_l) + 4e_i e_m (e_p T_{pl} e_l) \quad (148)$$

$$= \cancel{2e_i e_p T_{pm}} - 2T_{im} - \cancel{2T_{ip} e_p e_m} + \cancel{2T_{ip} e_p e_m} - 2T_{im} - \cancel{2e_i e_p T_{pm}} \\ + 2(\delta_{im} - e_i e_m)(T_{kk} - e_p T_{pl} e_l) + 4e_i e_m (e_p T_{pl} e_l) \quad (149)$$

$$= -4T_{im} + 2(\delta_{im} - e_i e_m)(T_{kk} - e_p T_{pl} e_l) + 4e_i e_m (e_p T_{pl} e_l) \quad (150)$$

$$= -4\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{T}} + 2(\mathbf{1} - \hat{\mathbf{e}}\hat{\mathbf{e}})(\cancel{\text{Tr}(\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{T}})} - \cancel{\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{T}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}}) + 4\hat{\mathbf{e}}\hat{\mathbf{e}}(\cancel{\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{T}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}}) \quad (151)$$

$$= -4\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{T}} \quad (152)$$

Thus, as required, we find

$$\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{T}} = -\frac{1}{4} \left\{ \left[\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}} + 3\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times [(\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}})\hat{\mathbf{e}}] \right] + \left[\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}} + 3\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times [(\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}})\hat{\mathbf{e}}] \right]^\top \right\} \quad (153)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} \left\{ \hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}} \cdot (\mathbf{1} + 3\hat{\mathbf{e}}\hat{\mathbf{e}}) + \left(\hat{\mathbf{e}} \times \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{S}} \cdot (\mathbf{1} + 3\hat{\mathbf{e}}\hat{\mathbf{e}}) \right)^\top \right\} = -\frac{1}{4}(-4\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{T}}) = \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{T}} \quad (154)$$

8 Ideal MHD Plasma Has No Electric Field Show that ideal MHD requires the electric field in the plasma rest frame to vanish.

Solution:

Let's consider the electric field in a laboratory frame. In ideal MHD, we use the ideal Ohm's law

$$\mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c}\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B} \quad (155)$$

where \mathbf{V} is the flow velocity of the plasma. Now if we are going with the plasma, $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{0}$ and so in ideal MHD we must have $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0}$.

9 Radial Electric Field to Satisfy MHD Ordering In the Standard Tokamak, what radial electric field, in Volts/cm, is necessary for the poloidal $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ drift to satisfy the MHD ordering?

toroidal field (B_T)	50 kG
major radius (R_0)	300 cm
minor radius (a)	80 cm
safety factor (q)	$q \simeq 1$ (on axis) $q \simeq 3$ (at edge)
central density (n)	10^{14} cm^{-3}
central temperature ($T_i = T_e = T$)	10 keV

Table 1: The Standard Tokamak parameters.

Solution:

We require

$$\frac{E_r}{B_T} = v_{\text{th}} \quad (156)$$

$$E_r = v_{\text{th}} B_T \simeq (299\,792\,458 \text{ m/s}) \sqrt{\frac{(10 \text{ keV})}{511 \text{ keV}}} (5 \text{ T}) = 2.1 \times 10^8 \text{ V/m} = 210 \text{ MV/m} \quad (157)$$

$$= 2.1 \times 10^6 \text{ V/cm} = 2.1 \text{ MV/cm} \quad (158)$$

For comparison, for the drift ordering we have

$$\delta \simeq \frac{v_{\text{th}}}{L\Omega} = \frac{v_{\text{th}} m_i}{eLB} = \frac{\sqrt{T_i m_i}}{eLB} = \frac{\sqrt{(10 \text{ keV})(1.67 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg})(1.6 \times 10^{-16} \text{ J/keV})}}{(.8 \text{ m})(1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C})(5 \text{ T})} \quad (159)$$

$$= 2 \times 10^{-3} \quad (160)$$

bringing down the voltage to $E_r \simeq 4 \times 10^5 \text{ V/m} = 400 \text{ kV/m} = 4 \text{ kV/cm}$. While this is large, it seems more likely to be achieved.

10 MHD Law for Magnetic Field Evolution Derive from (6.106) the MHD law for evolution of the field magnitude \mathbf{B} . Compare the result to the pressure law, (6.102).

$$\frac{d\mathbf{B}}{dt} - \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{V} + \mathbf{B} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{0} \quad (6.106)$$

$$\frac{dP}{dt} + \frac{5}{3} P \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} = 0 \quad (6.102)$$

Solution:

Let's begin with

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} + c \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0 \quad (161)$$

$$\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad (162)$$

We then find that

$$-c \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}) = \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j (\epsilon_{klm} V_l B_m) = \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{lmk} \partial_j (V_l B_m) \quad (163)$$

$$= (\delta_{il} \delta_{jm} - \delta_{im} \delta_{lj}) \partial_j (V_l B_m) = \partial_m (V_i B_m) - \partial_l (V_l B_i) \quad (164)$$

$$= B_m \partial_m V_i + V_i \partial_m B_m - B_i \partial_l V_l - v_l \partial_l B_i \quad (165)$$

$$= \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{V} + \mathbf{V} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} \quad (166)$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = -c \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{B} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} \quad (167)$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{V} + \mathbf{B} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}}_{\frac{d\mathbf{B}}{dt}} = 0 \quad (168)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{B}}{dt} - \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{V} + \mathbf{B} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{0} \quad (169)$$

Usually I'd call the field magnitude $\sqrt{\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B}} = B$, which given Hazeltine and Meiss's multiple typos in the book, could certainly be the case of their meaning, and so I will also do that. First we take $\mathbf{B} \cdot$ (6.106) and find

$$\mathbf{B} \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{B}}{dt} - \mathbf{B} \cdot (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{V}) + \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{0} \quad (170)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{dB^2}{dt} - \mathbf{B} \cdot (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{V}) + B^2 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} = 0 \quad (171)$$

$$B \frac{dB}{dt} - (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{V}) \cdot \mathbf{B} + B^2 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} = 0 \quad (172)$$

$$\boxed{\frac{dB}{dt} + B \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} = (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{V}) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{B}}{B} = B \hat{\mathbf{b}} \hat{\mathbf{b}} : \nabla \mathbf{V}} \quad (173)$$

Compared to the pressure evolution, we see that there is an extra term due to shear in the velocity field along the magnetic field.

11 Drift-Wave Consistency Show that drift-wave consistency requires the wavevector \mathbf{k} to satisfy

$$\sqrt{\frac{m_e}{m_i}} \frac{\rho_i}{L} \ll \frac{k_{\parallel}}{k_{\perp}} \ll \frac{\rho_i}{L}.$$

Thus drift waves propagate in a direction nearly, but not exactly, perpendicular to the magnetic field.

Solution:

This comes from the necessity that

$$k_{\parallel} v_{\text{th}i} \ll \omega_* \ll k_{\parallel} v_{\text{th}e} \quad (174)$$

with $\omega_* \equiv |\omega_*| = k_{\perp} \frac{cT}{eB} \frac{P'_0}{P_0}$. We see that (suppressing factors of 2 and $\sqrt{2}$ from thermal velocities from now on as they will make little difference in these limits)

$$\omega_* = k_{\perp} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\Omega_i}}_{\sim v_{\text{th}i}^2} \underbrace{\frac{T}{m_i}}_{\sim 1/L} \underbrace{\frac{P'_0}{P_0}}_{\sim 1/L} \sim \frac{k_{\perp} v_{\text{th}i}^2}{L \Omega_i} = \frac{k_{\perp} \rho_i v_{\text{th}i}}{L} \quad (175)$$

using $v_{\text{th}i}/L = \rho_i$ and thus

$$k_{\parallel} v_{\text{th}i} \ll \omega_* \Rightarrow k_{\parallel} v_{\text{th}i} \ll \frac{k_{\perp} \rho_i v_{\text{th}i}}{L} \quad (176)$$

$$\frac{k_{\parallel}}{k_{\perp}} \ll \frac{\rho_i}{L} \quad (177)$$

and with $\frac{v_{\text{th}i}}{v_{\text{th}e}} = \sqrt{\frac{2T}{m_i}} \sqrt{\frac{m_e}{2T}} = \sqrt{\frac{m_e}{m_i}}$ we find

$$\omega_* \ll k_{\parallel} v_{\text{th}e} \Rightarrow \frac{k_{\perp} \rho_i v_{\text{th}i}}{L} \ll k_{\parallel} v_{\text{th}e} \quad (178)$$

$$\frac{k_{\parallel}}{k_{\perp}} \gg \frac{\rho_i}{L} \frac{v_{\text{th}i}}{v_{\text{th}e}} = \sqrt{\frac{m_e}{m_i}} \frac{\rho_i}{L} \quad (179)$$

which combined yields

$$\sqrt{\frac{m_e}{m_i}} \frac{\rho_i}{L} \ll \frac{k_{\parallel}}{k_{\perp}} \ll \frac{\rho_i}{L} \quad (180)$$

as a consistency condition.

12 Drift Wave Dispersion Relation for Cold Ions Derive the drift-wave dispersion relation for the cold-ion case — that is, the $v_{thi} \rightarrow 0$ limit of (6.181) — by linearizing (6.163) and (6.164).

$$1 - \frac{5}{3} \frac{k_{\parallel}^2 v_{thi}^2}{(\omega - \omega_E)^2} = \frac{\omega_*}{\omega - \omega_E} \quad (6.181)$$

$$n_e \exp\left(-\frac{e\Phi}{T_e}\right) \simeq \text{constant} \quad (6.163)$$

$$\frac{dn_i}{dt} \simeq 0 \quad (6.164)$$

Solution:

We have that

$$n_e \exp\left(-\frac{e\Phi}{T_e}\right) = (n_{e0} + \tilde{n}_e) \left(1 - \frac{e\tilde{\Phi}}{T_e}\right) \approx n_{e0} \quad (181)$$

$$\tilde{n}_e - n_{e0} \frac{e\tilde{\Phi}}{T_e} = 0 \quad (182)$$

$$\frac{\tilde{n}_e}{n_{e0}} = \frac{e\tilde{\Phi}}{T_e} \quad (183)$$

and

$$\frac{dn_i}{dt} = \frac{\partial n_i}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V}_i \cdot \nabla n_i = 0 \quad (184)$$

$$(185)$$

Now, by momentum balance we find

$$m_i n_i \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}_i}{\partial t} = n_i q_i (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{V}_i \times \mathbf{B}) - \nabla p_i \quad (186)$$

$$m_i n_{i0} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_i}{\partial t} = n_{i0} q_i (\tilde{\mathbf{E}} + \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_i \times \mathbf{B}_0) \quad (187)$$

so along the field line we find

$$-i\omega m_i n_{i0} \tilde{V}_{i\parallel} = n_{i0} q_i E_{\parallel} = -iq_i n_{i0} k_{\parallel} \tilde{\Phi} \quad (188)$$

$$\tilde{V}_{i\parallel} = \frac{q_i k_{\parallel}}{m_i \omega} \tilde{\Phi} \quad (189)$$

and taking $\mathbf{B}_0 \times$ the momentum balance equation yields

$$\overbrace{m_i n_{i0} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_i \times \mathbf{B}_0}{\partial t}}^{\mathcal{O}(\omega/\Omega)} = n_{i0} q_i (\mathbf{B}_0 \times \tilde{\mathbf{E}} + \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{i\perp} B_0^2) \quad (190)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{i\perp} = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{E}} \times \mathbf{B}_0}{B_0^2} + \underbrace{\frac{m_i}{q_{i0}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_i \times \mathbf{B}_0}{\partial t}}_{\mathcal{O}(\omega/\Omega)} \quad (191)$$

which is just an $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ drift. Thus,

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{n}_i}{\partial t} + \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_i \cdot \nabla n_{i0} = 0 \quad (192)$$

$$-i\omega \tilde{n}_i + \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{i\parallel} \cdot \nabla n_{i0} + \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{i\perp} \cdot \nabla n_{i0} = 0 \quad (193)$$

We find (letting $\nabla n_{i0} = \frac{n_{i0}}{L_n} \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\mathbf{B}_0 = B_0 \hat{\mathbf{z}}$)

$$\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{E}} \times \mathbf{B}_0}{B_0^2} \cdot \nabla n_{i0} = \frac{n_{i0}}{L_n} \hat{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \frac{-\nabla \tilde{\Phi} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}}{B_0} = -i \frac{n_{i0} k_y \tilde{\Phi}}{L_n B_0} \quad (194)$$

Then

$$\tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{i\parallel} \cdot \nabla n_{i0} = 0 \quad (195)$$

quite obviously for ∇n_{i0} in the $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ direction and $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{i\parallel}$ in the $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ direction.

Thus we have

$$-i\omega \tilde{n}_i + \overbrace{-i \frac{k_y T_{e0}}{e B_0 L_n}}^{-\omega_*} \frac{n_{i0} e \tilde{\Phi}}{T_{e0}} = 0 \quad (196)$$

$$\omega \tilde{n}_i - \omega_* \frac{n_{i0} e \tilde{\Phi}}{T_{e0}} = 0 \quad (197)$$

$$\frac{\tilde{n}_i}{n_{i0}} = \frac{\omega_*}{\omega} \frac{e \tilde{\Phi}}{T_{e0}} \quad (198)$$

and so by quasineutrality

$$\tilde{n}_e = \tilde{n}_i \quad (199)$$

$$n_{i0} \frac{\omega_*}{\omega} \frac{e \tilde{\Phi}}{T_{e0}} = n_{e0} \frac{e \tilde{\Phi}}{T_{e0}} \quad (200)$$

$$1 - \frac{\omega_*}{\omega} = 0 \quad (201)$$

$$\boxed{\omega = \omega_*} \quad (202)$$

It's clear that if there were a Doppler shift, we would simply have $\omega = \omega_* + \omega_E$ where $\omega_E = \frac{k_y c \Phi'_0}{B_0}$ with $\Phi'_0(x) = E_0$.

To prove this, let's we include an equilibrium electric field in the $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ direction. We then have

$$\mathbf{E}_0 = -\mathbf{V}_{0i} \times \mathbf{B}_0 \quad (203)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_0 \times \mathbf{B}_0 = \mathbf{B}_0 \times (\mathbf{V}_{0i} \times \mathbf{B}_0) = \mathbf{B}_0^2 V_{0i\perp} \quad (204)$$

$$\mathbf{V}_{0i\perp} = \frac{\mathbf{E}_0 \times \mathbf{B}_0}{B_0^2} = \frac{E_0}{B_0} \hat{\mathbf{x}} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}} = \frac{-E_0}{B_0} \hat{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{\Phi'_0}{B_0} \hat{\mathbf{y}} \quad (205)$$

where $\Phi'_0 = E_0$, and so we see there is an equilibrium \mathbf{V}_0 . We see this won't change the perturbed equation if we ignore parallel velocity. We can ignore the $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ or parallel velocity due to the electric

field for the same reasons we could ignore the parallel electric field above: it doesn't contribute to the dispersion relation.

Thus, we find

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{n}_i}{\partial t} + \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_i \cdot \nabla n_{i0} + \mathbf{V}_{0i\perp} \cdot \nabla \tilde{n}_i = 0 \quad (206)$$

$$-i\omega \tilde{n}_i + \cancel{\tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{i\parallel} \cdot \nabla n_{i0}} + \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{i\perp} \cdot \nabla n_{i0} + \frac{\Phi'_0}{B_0} \hat{\mathbf{y}} \cdot i\mathbf{k} \tilde{n}_i = 0 \quad (207)$$

$$-i\omega \tilde{n}_i + \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{i\perp} \cdot \nabla n_{i0} + \overbrace{\frac{ik_y \Phi'_0}{B_0}}^{i\omega_E} \tilde{n}_i = 0 \quad (208)$$

$$-i(\omega - \omega_e) \tilde{n}_i + \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{i\perp} \cdot \nabla n_{i0} + \frac{ik_y \Phi'_0}{B_0} \tilde{n}_i = 0 \quad (209)$$

and so we now proceed as before replacing ω with $\omega - \omega_E$.

$$-i(\omega - \omega_E) \tilde{n}_i + -i \overbrace{\frac{k_y T_{e0}}{e B_0 L_n}}^{-\omega_*} \frac{n_{i0} e \tilde{\Phi}}{T_{e0}} = 0 \quad (210)$$

$$(\omega - \omega_E) \tilde{n}_i - \omega_* \frac{n_{i0} e \tilde{\Phi}}{T_{e0}} = 0 \quad (211)$$

$$\frac{\tilde{n}_i}{n_{i0}} = \frac{\omega_{*e}}{\omega - \omega_E} \frac{e \tilde{\Phi}}{T_{e0}} \quad (212)$$

and so by quasineutrality

$$\tilde{n}_e = \tilde{n}_i \quad (213)$$

$$n_{i0} \frac{\omega_*}{\omega - \omega_E} \frac{e \tilde{\Phi}}{T_{e0}} = n_{e0} \frac{e \tilde{\Phi}}{T_{e0}} \quad (214)$$

$$1 - \frac{\omega_*}{\omega - \omega_E} = 0 \quad (215)$$

$$\boxed{\omega - \omega_E = \omega_*} \quad (216)$$

as promised.